First Come First Serve

In a recent interview, Commerce Resources’ President, Chris Grove, mentioned that several companies are interested in creating a vertically integrated supply chain not connected with China. The next big step is to produce mixed rare earth concentrate samples for interested parties (including BASF, Mitsubishi, DKK, Solvay, and Treibacher Industrie, whereas other companies are covered by non-disclosure agreements). These highly sought-after samples are expected to be produced later this year, which could lead to another milestone in the form of a joint venture or strategic partnership. A Pre-feasibility Study is also targeted for completion in 2016. According to today’s press release, latest tests have shown another advantage in fluorspar as a potential by-product. There is a significant market for the commodity but it was not considered in the PEA. Québec’s Société du Plan Nord has expressed interest in building a road that could connect Kuujjuaq with the railhead at Schefferville. If built, the road could potentially go by Ashram. The government has also discussed various means of incentives for Commerce if it were to place its proposed hydrometallurgical facility within Québec. In today’s report, Rockstone presents recent publications on Commerce and the rare earth space.
Today’s news shows that fluorspar (industrially known as “fluorspar”, and chemically as “CaF₂”) as a by-product from the Ashram Rare Earth Deposit has the potential to either increase operating margins or lower operating costs as Commerce could do a trading deal with someone, e.g. supplying fluorspar to a company from which Commerce would be buying sulfuric acid.

When Commerce achieved a 42% TREO mineral concentrate at 76% recovery (October 19, 2015), it also resulted in a fluorspar concentrate with a grade of ~75% CaF₂ (“met-grade”, i.e. metallurgical grade) at 80% recovery. This information has not been published until today. Commerce also disclosed today to be in “dialogue with several interested parties in terms of fluorspar offtake and is in the process of advancing those discussions, as well as working towards the production of samples for evaluation.” Excerpts from today’s news “Commerce Resources Corp. Advances By-Product Potential for the Ashram Rare Earth Deposit”:

Commerce Resources Corp. is pleased to announce encouraging developments in the evaluation of potential fluorspar by-product for the Company’s 100% owned Ashram Rare Earth Deposit.

The Company first reported by-product potential in 2013 (see news release dated Feb 20th, 2013) with a fluorspar concentrate of possible value being produced during the rare earth element (REE) recovery process. Since this time, this work has advanced favourably.

The evaluation of the fluorspar concentrate as a by-product has advanced with the production of more than 50 individual fluorspar concentrates using Ashram’s base case beneficiation flowsheet, comprised of flotation, leaching, and magnetic separation.

The fluorspar is concentrated along with the rare earth minerals through the beneficiation flowsheet until the final stage of processing, where they are separated into two distinct concentrates; a fluorspar concentrate, and a rare earth mineral concentrate. A simplified illustration of this flowsheet is below.

One of the best overall rare earth mineral concentrates achieved to date (42% TREO at 76% recovery, see news release dated October 19, 2015) also resulted in a fluorspar concentrate with a grade [1] of ~75% CaF₂ at 80% recovery as the final tails product of the rare earth mineral beneficiation process. Of the fluorspar concentrates produced to date, the average CaF₂ grade is ~75% to a peak of 94%, indicating metallurgical-grade (met-grade) fluorspar with advantages that include:

1. Potentially saleable as met-grade fluorspar without further processing
2. No additional cost to produce as the met-grade fluorspar is the final tails product of the primary REE recovery process
3. No negative impact on REE flowsheet or recoveries
4. Potential for a reduced volume of tailings, and thus, size of tailings facility, if the fluorspar is confirmed to be saleable by-product

In addition, a test program is being designed to evaluate the potential for upgrading the met-grade fluorspar concentrate to acid-grade, with testwork anticipated to begin shortly.

The Company has engaged in dialogue with several interested parties in terms of fluorspar offtake and is in the process of advancing those discussions, as well as working towards the production of samples for evaluation.

The Ashram Project’s potential contribution to the fluorspar market will be evaluated as part of the ongoing Pre-feasibility Study (PFS). Although test programs to date have yielded encouraging results for this potential by-product, there is no certainty of its inclusion into the Ashram Project’s ongoing PFS.

With respect to the ongoing PFS, the results of the programs described in this news release will be incorporated, along with other necessary technical data including geological and engineering studies, into the PFS with costs and benefits to be described in more detail therein.

**Fluorspar Market**

Approximately two-thirds of the fluorspar market is acid-grade, which is primarily used in the production of aluminum and in the manufacture of hydrofluoric acid. The remaining one-third of the market is dominated by met-grade which is primarily used as flux in steel making to lower melting temperature and remove impurities. China, and to a lesser extent Mexico, dominate global fluorspar production which is estimated by the USGS to be approximately 6.9 million tonnes per annum (2014).

[1] Fluorite (CAF₂) is calculated based on fluorine analysis, using a conversion factor of 2.055, as mineralogical work concludes fluorite is the dominant and only material source of fluorine at the Ashram Deposit.

**About the Ashram REE Deposit**

The Ashram Rare Earth Element (REE) Deposit is located in Nunavik, north-eastern Quebec. The Deposit has a measured resource of 1.6 million tonnes (Mt) at 1.77% TREO, an indicated resource of 27.7 Mt at 1.90% TREO, and an inferred resource of 219.8 Mt at 1.88% TREO. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability. The REEs at Ashram occur primarily in the mineral monazite and to a lesser extent in bastnaesite and xenotime. These minerals dominate the currently known commercial extraction processes for rare earths. The Ashram Deposit mineralization has an REE distribution with enrichment in the critical and magnet feed REEs (Nd, Pr, Eu, Tb, Dy, and Y). A Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) was completed by SGS-Geostat of Montreal (Blainville) with an effective date of July 5, 2012 (revised date of January 7, 2015). The PEA is based on a 4,000 tonne per day open-pit operation with an initial 25-year mine life, a pre-tax Net Present Value (NPV) of $2.32 billion at a 10% discount rate, a pre-tax/pre-finance Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of 44%, and a pre-tax/pre-finance payback period of 2.25 years.
We’ve been mining gold, silver and base metals for centuries while commercial markets for most rare earth elements (REEs) have arisen in only the past 50 years. Typically used in small amounts, REEs allow magnetic, electrical and chemical processes to occur at significantly lower energy levels resulting in increased efficiency and smaller scale products. They have become a vital component in mobile electronics, electric vehicles and other products in the shift to energy efficiency.

The current overall market for REEs is less than 200,000 tonnes of total rare earth oxides (TREO) per year with 97% of all production coming from China. The Chinese Ministry of Land and Resources recently suspended applications for new mining and exploration projects, with exception to its larger producers, for rare earth elements over the next three years in an effort to consolidate the rare earths sector in China. Prices for REEs declined in 2015 along with other resources but with the recent constraints and new technological innovation, a focus on REEs is expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

Rare earth elements, 16 in total, are perhaps not as rare as the name implies. Cerium, the most abundant REE, comprises more of the earth’s crust than copper or lead. Many REEs are more common than tin and molybdenum and all are more common than silver or mercury.

However, only those REE deposits found to be significantly economic are dependent on the host rock being carbonatites and on its mineralogy – in particular, those deposits containing the minerals monazite, bastnasite, and xenotime that are all easily broken down. All three minerals are found on Commerce Resource’s [CCE-TSXV; CMRZF-OTCQX; D7H-FSE] Ashram rare earth deposit in mining friendly northern Québec.

Commerce discovered the Ashram deposit, that sits within its Eldor carbonatite property in 2009, after airborne geophysics and soil sampling led them to a mineralized outcrop with over 3% TREO. In 2010, drilling returned 1.72% TREO over 215.30 metres in hole EC10-027, now referred to as the discovery hole. The Ashram deposit has since had over $30 million spent on exploration and deposit definition, giving it the potential to be one of the largest and longest operating rare earth element producers in the world. In May 2012, the company reported robust economics from a Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) for Ashram. The study showed a strong positive cash flow from a 4,000 tonne per day open-pit operation with a 25-year mine life, a pre-tax and prefinance Net Present Value (NPV) of $2.32 billion and an Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of 44% with a payback period of 2.25 years. Using a cut-off grade of 1.25% TREO, the estimate provided a measured resource of 1.6 million tonnes at 1.77%, an indicated resource of 28 million tonnes at 1.90% and an inferred resource of 219.8 million tonnes averaging 1.88% TREO.

What separates Commerce’s Ashram deposit from various other advanced stage REE deposits is its simple mineralogy combined with high-grade mineral concentrates of greater than 40% TREO. Mineralization starts at surface with minimal overburden allowing for a low cost open pit operation. The deposit contains high demand, short supply REEs in significant amounts with a balanced distribution of the critical and magnet feed REEs. The Ashram Project has capital expenditures (CAPEX) of $773 million. However, that is relatively low considering the 2.25-year payback and the +25-year mine life. Perhaps most important, are its low operating expenditures (OPEX) of $95.20/tonne of ore treated.

After all, like any mine, it’s not how much ore can be produced but how much profit can be generated from each tonne of ore mined. In this, the Ashram deposit scores...
high along with its favorable jurisdiction and experienced management team.

Commerce has been cognizant of changes in the market over the past few years. While a Pre-feasibility study has been ongoing, the company is exploring different mining scenarios along with economic trade-off studies to adjust to an ever-changing market landscape. For example, by reducing annual production suggested in their existing PEA, they could reduce CAPEX allowing the company to move to production quicker. Also, by dividing the property into North and South project areas, the hydrometallurgical processing would be more cost effective if located closer to existing infrastructure rather than being processed at the mine site. Commerce is comfortable with the cost of building a haul road to transport mineral concentrate from the mine north to the coast or south to existing infrastructure as part of the expenditures laid out in the existing PEA. They are also confident that Québec’s Plan Nord will include much of the highly prospective surrounding area in their infrastructure development plans.

Ten different metallurgical studies have now been completed with each one more impressive than the last. Most recently, REE recoveries have increased from 71% to 76% while maintaining a grade of greater than 40% TREO. In addition, a secondary leach has been eliminated simplifying the leaching process. With strong metallurgical results and all the data for a pre-feasibility study in place, Commerce has begun producing samples from their pilot plant for potential clients throughout the world.

There is much about rare earth deposits that makes analysis more difficult than for other mineral type deposits. The simple fact that so few producing REE mines exist in the world indicates a shortage of expertise in the mining of up to 16 different rare earth elements – each with their own supply and demand fundamentals. To date, the Ashram deposit has developed on its tangible data benefiting from a well-balanced combination of simple mineralogy, tonnage, grade, REE distribution, economics, jurisdiction, and infrastructure putting it at the forefront of newly emerging REE deposits throughout the globe.

The above article was written by Barry Muir who is a registered Investment Adviser with Haywood Securities Inc. a Canadian based independent, full-service investment firm and member of the Canadian Investor Protection Fund. The article is for informational purposes only and is neither a solicitation for the purchase of securities nor an offer of securities. Readers of the article are expressly cautioned to seek the advice of a registered investment advisor and other professional advisors, as applicable, regarding the appropriateness of investing in any securities or any investment strategies, including those discussed above. The information contained in the reports have been compiled from sources Haywood believes are reliable; however, Haywood makes no guarantee, representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to such information’s accuracy or completeness. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily Haywood Securities Inc. All opinions and estimates contained in the reports are based on assumptions the author believes to be reasonable as of the dates of the reports but are subject to change without notice. Either the author, Haywood Securities Inc. or its employees may from time to time hold or transact in the securities mentioned. Barry can be reached at (604) 697-6172 or bmuir@haywood.com
But even compared to other carbonatite-hosted deposits, “our gangue ma-
terial is just more amenable to separa-
tion,” he emphasizes. “With REE deposits,
if your grade is 2% or 3% then the rest is
waste rock or gangue, and the very com-
position of this gangue may mean a make-
or-break situation, if you can’t economic-
ally separate the gangue from the REEs.”

But for Commerce, metallurgical
studies look positive for economical
separation. That’s crucial to achieving
low-cost processing from a project that
also features high grades, a shallow
deposit and a distribution of five critical
rare earth elements. As a result, several
major companies have asked Commerce
for concentrate samples.

In October the company announced its
highest-grade concentrate so far, which
Commerce said compares favourably
with hard-rock operations globally.
Metallurgical tests by Hazen Research
in Colorado produced a concentrate of
total rare earth oxides grading 48.9%,
with overall recovery around 63%. Addi-
tional processing achieved 45.7% TREO
with about 71% recovery. Two weeks
later the team boosted recovery to 76%,
maintaining a high grade of 42% TREO.

Additional flowsheet simplification
came in February, when the mini-
pilot plant confirmed that one of two
leaning steps could be eliminated,
suggesting considerable cost-cutting
potential while maintaining efficiency.

Tests show another potential advantage
in fluorite, which the lab is currently
examining as a byproduct. “There’s a
significant market for fluorite byproducts
that didn’t factor into our PEA at all,” Grove points out. “So we’ll
be very interested to see how this might
improve our economics.”

But the next big step will be to produce
concentrate samples for interested par-
ties. Some of those companies are covered
by non-disclosure agreements, Grove
says. Others include BASF, Mitsubishi
RtM, Innovation Metals, DKK and Solvay.

The samples might be produced by Q2
this year, which could lead to another
milestone in the form of a joint venture.

“There are several companies interested
in creating a vertically integrated, stable
supply chain not connected with China,”
Grove says. Should a JV take place, the
partner might fund the remaining pre-feas
studies and help direct the project model.

Among possible outcomes could be a
reduction in output—and therefore
capex—from what was considered in a
preliminary economic assessment com-
pleted in 2012 and amended last year.
The study used a 10% discount rate to
estimate a pre-tax net present value of
$2.32 billion and a 44% pre-tax internal
rate of return. Capex came to $763 mil-
lion with payback in 2.25 years. Oper-
ating costs came to $7.91 per kilo of
crude earth oxides in a 4,000-tpd open
pit with a 25-year lifespan. Production
could be subject to a combined tax rate
of about 32.5%.

The study used a 2012 resource with a
1.25% cutoff to show:
measured: 1.59 million tonnes
averaging 1.77% total rare earth oxides
indicated: 27.67 million tonnes
averaging 1.9% TREO
inferred: 219.8 million tonnes averaging
1.88% TREO

Shallow and at some points beginning
at surface, the deposit remains open
to the north, south and at depth, also
holding expansion potential east and
west. Middle and heavy rare earth ox-
ides (MHREO) take up considerable pro-
portions—9.8% of TREO in the mea-
sured category, 6.7% in indicated and 6%
in inferred. Unique to Ashram, the com-
pany states, a zone of intense MHREO
enrichment “extends from surface with
significant tonnage and grade.” The de-
posit features a strong distribution of
the critical elements neodymium, euro-
pium, terbium, dysprosium and yttrium.

Infill drilling over the last two years has
Grove looking forward to an upgraded
resource estimate. “We’ve been hitting
higher grades, we’ve been hitting lower
overburden than what was modelled
in the PEA,” he says. “We’ve been hitting
higher levels of the middle and heavy
rare earths, which is also to our benefit.
When we were drilling to find areas to
locate dykes, we kept on hitting material.”

Part of Commerce’s 190-square-kilo-
metre Eldor property, Ashram sits about
130 kilometres south of the community
of Kuujjuaq. Quebec’s Société du Plan
Nord has expressed interest in building
a road that could connect Kuujjuaq with
the railhead at Schefferville. If built, the
road could potentially go by Ashram.
Failing that, pre-feas studies are consid-
ering a road north to a possible docking
facility, taking a shorter route than en-
visioned by the PEA.

Recognized as a mining-friendly juris-
diction, the province has offered
Commerce tax incentives to keep its
proposed hydro-metallurgical facility
within Quebec, Grove says. Community
relations are good, he adds, and ongoing
communication remains a priority. The
company has hosted meetings and site
visits for the Inuit and the Naskapi First
Nation. In October Commerce won the
E3 Plus Award for responsible explora-
tion from L’Association de l’exploration
mière du Québec at Xplor 2015 in
Montreal.

In southeastern British Columbia Com-
merce also holds the Blue River project,
where the Upper Fir tantalum-niobium
deposit reached PEA in 2011 and a re-
source update in 2013. Grove sees JV
potential as manufacturers become
increasingly concerned about ethical-
sources of supply. “The majority of
tantalum produced now is probably
produced by conflict means,” he says.
“I know of no one who has gone far
enough upstream to be able to de-
terminate that the actual production of
these minerals is conflict-free. There’s
no independent verification that stands
up to scrutiny.”

Getting back to rare earths, Grove says
companies outside China aren’t the
only ones worried about future supply.
“When we went there in 2012, we met
with all but one of the major producers
and processors of rare earth elements.
They met with us because they all had
concerns about their future supply.”

Determined to compete with China on
costs, Grove believes Ashram’s
mineralogy and metallurgy will prove
his point even as other projects fail.
After a tumultuous few years unleashed by geopolitical rivalries in Asia, the rare earth sector has mean reverted with rare earth element (REE) prices having fallen by as much as 90% from their peak in 2011.

It is interesting to note that the core issue which drove exponential gains in rare earth prices—supply chain dependence on China—is still a reality.

In the wake of Molycorp's (MCPI: OTCBB) spectacular implosion and bankruptcy, and the financial struggles of Lynas (LYC: ASX), many are questioning whether or not a REE supply chain outside of China is even feasible.

While the collapse in REE prices has rendered most non-Chinese deposits uneconomic, a weaker local currency coupled with government support may be enough to begin to establish a reliable source of saleable REE products outside of an increasingly unstable China.

Additionally, reports have emerged that many REE producers inside China are operating at a loss.

Thanks to these market inefficiencies, this industry is set to consolidate. Expect to see M&A and co-opetition as the industry adjusts to a new normal of lower prices despite healthy demand.

This white paper looks at the current state of the REE sector and aims to present a vision of what a REE supply chain might look like in this new macroeconomic environment.
INTRODUCTION

FEW METALS HAVE CAPTIVATED INVESTORS IN RECENT YEARS IN THE SAME WAY THAT REES HAVE. NOBODY EXCEPT THE MOST SEASONED REE ANALYST WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT A CHANCE ENCOUNTER IN THE SOUTH CHINA SEA BETWEEN A JAPANESE MILITARY PATROL BOAT AND A CHINESE FISHING VESSEL WOULD HAVE LED TO A FREEZING OF REE EXPORTS FROM CHINA TO JAPAN, AND A DISRUPTION OF GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAINS.

This event served as a stark wake-up call to non-Chinese electronics manufacturers and military procurement officials regarding absolute dependence on China for critical materials. Unsurprisingly, everyone from politicians to materials scientists to procurement managers “woke up” and began to think hard about where the next kilogram of material would come from. This also gave rise to thinking about either engineering REEs “out” of products like motors or developing a non-Chinese REE supply chain from mining to final manufacturing.

This concern was not lost on the Chinese REE industry that took several decades to build their supply chain dominance and would not let it slip from their grasp easily. Quotas, taxes, differing prices inside and outside of China, jawboning the market through talk of market consolidation, and creating a REE “exchange” were but a few of the tactics employed by the Chinese to maintain their dominance. The export quotas have now been rescinded due to a WTO ruling effectively normalizing prices, but excess supply still remains in place largely due to illegal mining.

As of early 2016, REE prices have mean reverted and though many questions surround the sustainability of China’s economic growth model, the supply chain is only slightly less dominant than it was a few years ago. As an example, the sole source of dysprosium ore is effectively the South China Clays with no other significant source globally. The price of dysprosium oxide has fallen by over 90% from peak to trough though still remains above its pre-crisis low.

This raises several questions. First, how have recent events altered rare earth supply chains? Second, in this low price environment, is there a need for a non-Chinese focused supply chain? Third, if so, what might it look like?

This paper aims to answer these questions through examining the current supply chain situation and projecting what a non-Chinese supply chain might look like.

WHAT A LONG, STRANGE TRIP IT'S BEEN

Despite its small size relative to other base or precious metals (~150,000 tpy), REE production has suffered much the same fate as excess capacity has pushed prices relentlessly down. With China producing roughly 90% of global supply and consuming roughly 70% of demand, the need to adjust world supplies and add price transparency is obvious and though efforts have been undertaken by Chinese officials that have included the scrapping of quotas, creation of a rare earth “exchange” (which has since collapsed under ponzi-like circumstances)\(^1\), and stamping out illegal mining, these actions have yet to produce their intended effects.

While the core challenge of resource dependence remains, the market does not believe this to be so, and the result is mean reversion in pricing.

Many of the rare earth exploration and development companies have managed to sustain themselves, but this tide may soon be turning as a combination of a lack of adequate funding and unworkable economics rear their ugly head. Though painful, we believe this to be a positive force as the best projects will ultimately survive as Darwinian influences take hold and mothball underwhelming opportunities.

As such, China still controls much of the global supply chain. A typical mine to market supply chain would resemble the following:

Source: Asian Metal

The graphic below of dysprosium oxide shows what happens when bubbles are formed and then pop:
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The main bottlenecks for non-Chinese companies are domestically-mined ore and the separation capability. These tend to be the most cost prohibitive part of the REE supply chain which explains why most of this happens inside China, where producers have been able to leverage low cost labor, lax environmental standards, and technical expertise. Additionally, Chinese REE mining companies have tended to only focus on the deposit types that they “know” meaning that the Chinese likely have not, and would not, attempt to mine deposits where the metallurgy and mineralogy is not well understood and commercially viable.

Despite the unease of electronics manufacturers and the defense industry, little has been accomplished to alleviate concerns over Chinese-dominant supply chains. Creditors are still slugging it out over the wreckage of the MCPIQ implosion/bankruptcy and LYC has just barely become cash flow positive. Chinese overproduction of REEs continues with approximately 40% of production in the country illegal in nature according to IMCOA. This has kept a lid on prices but is also a clear signal of worsening sector economics in China. Much of this concerns a lack of willingness on the part of the Chinese to cede market share.

However, the original crisis did have one lasting effect and it was to force manufacturers reliant on foreign REE supplies to find workable substitutes or to engineer REEs out of end products. While this has been met with mixed results, it still doesn’t negate the need for a reliable source of supply outside of China as typically engineering a material “out” means engineering “in” another material. Multiple industry sources have indicated that minimizing dysprosium in magnet feed, for example, means increasing the percentage of neodymium or praseodymium.

So what to do? As China contends with internal struggles including fighting corruption, slower growth, and pollution, and becomes more assertive outside her borders (in the South China Sea, for example), the opportunity for another supply shock and disruption to REE supply chains remains a possibility. The threat of pollution is particularly stark and as Chinese industry works towards a “cleaner” source of growth - vehicle electrification, as a single example - would imply increased REE demand inside China. The results from the recent Paris Climate Summit will also be worth watching as they indicate increased deployment of renewable energy technologies which are dependent on REEs to varying degrees.

While much of the investor populace has left the REE space for “greener pastures” (if they exist in the commodity sector today), it is notable that the pieces necessary to construct a supply chain outside of China are already in existence.

The question is: at what cost could a non-Chinese REE supply chain be constructed? We think the absolute cost of a supply chain blurs the real issue. Rather than focusing on the financial requirements, a better question to ask is: what is the cost of NOT having a non-Chinese REE supply chain in place?

**PUZZLE PIECES**

From our perch, four main pieces of this supply chain puzzle exist. These are: the mined ore, the processing, separation and refining, and end use.

The most difficult to replicate would be the mining of ore. The reasons for this include difficult metallurgy, high capital expenditures, and lack of available financing despite the healthy growth rates in REE demand.

The key would be to focus on picking a project that struck a balance between these issues and offered a suitable rate of return; as an example, a deposit that produced a mixed rather than separated REE concentrate and passed this on to the next piece of the supply chain. To be sure, margin would be
forfeited as separated oxides command a premium, but the stark economic realities of the REE mining space dictate that a new business model be created. With REE prices where they are, aspiring miners will be forced to compete on cost. We have discussed this theme frequently in recent years and expect to see hybrid business models emerge as a focus solely on price is both misguided and misplaced.

While market participants all have their “favorites”, some of the possible contenders for this portion of the value chain include: (See chart below).

With respect to the process of REE separation, an entity such as Innovation Metals (privately held) or Solvay (SOLB:EBR) would serve this purpose. In the case of SOLB, according to a Council on Foreign Relations piece authored by Dr. Eugene Gholz, at SOLB’s REE separation plant in France (formerly run by Rhodia) “from 2000 to 2011, only four out of eighteen separation units were in use”\(^3\) so presumably some of the excess separation capacity still exists and a tolling agreement could be put together.

Real visibility would come from understanding the economics of the separation process. Innovation Metals (mentioned above) aims to provide low cost and scalable processing and separation workflow.

The company notes that there is little separation capacity for the heavy rare earths outside of China utilizing solvent extraction (SX) technology and intends to bridge this gap by serving as a centralized processing and separation facility. Recently, the company announced a potential leap in separation technology with its announcement of a “rapid” SX process which utilizes fewer resins, lowering operating expenses and processing time. This alters the original business model slightly, but provides both the company and its potential customers flexibility in the ever-changing REE business.

The process has been validated on a bench scale with multiple REEs separated from various concentrates and purities in excess of 99% demonstrated. The company’s current goal is to demonstrate the process at scale and has recently completed a pilot-scale facility which will commence operations shortly. The process is patent pending and we look forward to learning more.

Post-separation the number of end users varies far and wide depending upon the application. Though the REE market is roughly $3 billion in size, the size of the market for downstream finished products is thought to be several orders of magnitude larger. Large end users such as Shin-Etsu Chemical Co (4063: TYO), the largest magnet manufacturer in Japan, come to mind, however there are numerous other potential end users looking for a reliable source of feedstock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TICKER</th>
<th>MARKET CAP</th>
<th>DOMINANT HOST MINERAL</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Resources</td>
<td>CCE</td>
<td>17.58M CAD</td>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>PEA</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ucore Rare Metals</td>
<td>UCU</td>
<td>69.15M USD</td>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>PEA</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Resources</td>
<td>PEK</td>
<td>33.15, AUD</td>
<td>Bastnaesite</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arafura Resources</td>
<td>ARU</td>
<td>25.59M AUD</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynas Corp</td>
<td>LYC</td>
<td>278.08M AUD</td>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>In Production</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medallion Resources*</td>
<td>MDL</td>
<td>2.96M CAD</td>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>Bench-level</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg, Company reports; *Though MDL has no “deposit” per se, it makes sense to include the company here as their business model fits with our supply chain vision.

\(^3\) Eugene Gholz, “Rare Earth Elements and National Security” Council on Foreign Relations, October, 2014
One example of a company which leverages demand for advanced materials with low cost production technologies is Infinium Metals. Privately held, the company is pioneering methods to produce and recycle crucial elements for energy efficiency and technology. A recent grant of $2.85 million from the US Department of Energy's ARPA-E program would seem to validate the potential for the company’s business model. This is on top of the $5 million previously granted from ARPA-E and $12 million from the EERE Office (Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy) of the Department of Energy. The current focus is on magnesium and neodymium (though others can be produced) – metals whose demand remains healthy despite the current macroeconomic backdrop. The focus on just two metals – neodymium and magnesium – highlights a theme we’ve been seeing more of. Namely, end users don’t want all 17 REEs, instead just opting for specific ones. We believe this theme of efficiency and flexibility in supply chains is set to become more prevalent.

The Infinium model and focus on energy efficiency and associated technologies makes intuitive sense in light of the fact that China has for years successfully been moving up the value chain and producing these types of advanced materials both for export and a vibrant domestic market.

The lynchpin of this supply chain idea is access to adequate capital to tie the various pieces together. Given the challenges in the mining sector, each project likely should be viewed on a one off basis where IRR and NPV, flawed but widely accepted project metrics, are the benchmarks. While an off take agreement is preferable, a deal structured in the way that the LYC, Sojitz Corp and the Japan Oil, Gas and Metals National Corporation (JOGMEC) deal is, could serve as a viable template for other deals going forward. It’s true that the deal has had to be restructured owing to the challenges in the commodity sector since 2011, but the general model for the deal remains a viable one going forward as off take, marketing, and project financing are all factored into the deal.

As is the case with emerging technologies or supply chains, the role of government is a necessity as many of today’s technologies we take for granted were once funded by government grants. The U.S. Department of Defense has been known to sponsor emerging technologies (case in point is Innovation Metals’ rapid solvent extraction technology). On a provincial level in Canada and state level in the US, the Quebec government or the Alaska Import and Development Export Agency (AIDEA) respectively are examples of government offering support through various means.

**SWOT – WHY THIS WILL (OR WON’T) WORK**

In previous research reports we have presented the case both for and against various commodities in the form of a SWOT analysis. This has become challenging in that a strength could be viewed as a weakness depending upon one’s perspective. Also, given that this research piece is more of a case study than one designed to extol the virtues of a specific commodity, we believe that a list of some of the tailwinds and headwinds from a more macro perspective is more beneficial.

In regards to constructing a non-Chinese REE supply chain, we see the current collapse in the price of oil and current low interest rate environment as positives in that they hold out the possibility of lower operational expenses (in the case of oil) and more
favorable debt financing terms (in the case of capital expenditures). We would agree with those forecasts for the price of oil and interest rates to stay “lower for longer” offering some relative certainty to changing project economics.

Until recently, the US Dollar strength has continued unabated and this could also serve as a tailwind for those projects non-USD based. While this issue isn’t black and white (many projects have costs in multiple currencies), the recent 20% depreciation in the CAD and AUD against the USD should improve project economics to varying degrees.

Thinking about the challenges to building a non-Chinese supply chain would likely require a report of its own. That said, the main challenge here rests with China itself and the relative economic health of the country’s economy (and by extension) its internal REE supply chain. While we do not expect to see a “collapse” of the Chinese economy, it is clear that a slower growth trajectory is a certainty as the country struggles with the imperative of deleveraging. On a more granular level, the constant threat of illegal mining of REEs in China mentioned earlier is an issue that must be addressed by Chinese authorities with more than lip service. We say this with the knowledge that this may be easier said than done.

Very little is known about the current state of Chinese REE mines but anecdotal evidence reveals a general lack of environmental stewardship and questions around the long term sustainability of this piece of the supply chain in China. This uncertainty, despite excess supply, ought to only serve to emphasize the potential for a supply disruption.

Another challenge concerns politics and the willingness of the political class in the West to devote attention to the issue of resource dependence. Given that 2016 is an election year in the United States, any sweeping legislation on this issue will most certainly be postponed. A recent report published by the General Accounting Office discusses in detail the necessity for the US Department of Defense to develop a comprehensive approach to determining national security risks in the supply chain. Encouragingly, the DoD acknowledged the report and plans on releasing more details on this issue later in 2016. This is a good sign, however we await this information before further comment.

A final area to monitor closely is the research and development of REE downstream products. The need for supply security has forced companies such as Hitachi Metals to find ways to either engineer out REEs from their end products or recycle existing supply. This push has been met with mixed results. In some cases, REEs are “unsubstitutable” and in others while the use of dysprosium in magnets can be minimized, you end up using more of another material, basically trading dependence on one material for dependence on another while compromising efficiency in many cases. Additionally, your overall production costs may not fall despite minimizing use of expensive materials.

Despite this, REE usage continues to grow at a pace well above global GDP growth with demand CAGRs growing anywhere from 4% to 8% with permanent magnet demand forecast to lead this charge to 2020. These healthy demand forecasts offer the most salient rationale for continued interest in the REE sector going forward.

**TAKEAWAYS**

In light of the value destruction in the mining sector, many would decry the attempt to become self-sufficient in critical metals as a fool’s errand. We think this misses the point. Though the idea of resource dependence has been overhyped by the media and stock promoters, the central issue of resource dependence remains and is likely to resurface in the future. The ramifications are manifold. The financial

---

(4) http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-16-161
(5) http://www.hitachi.com/New/cnews/101206.html
costs associated with this endeavor are well known and obscure the real issues. The central question concerns the costs of NOT constructing a supply chain. We would submit that these costs go well beyond just the capital and operational expenditure to build out a supply chain. The United States and her allies would like to make sure that the F-35 stays in the air when it’s really needed.

There are no easy answers to this predicament and higher REE prices are not a panacea. It took the Chinese decades to become essentially self-sufficient in the REE sector and one hopes it won’t take as long to wrest control back from China. Only time will tell, but the aura of near-term uncertainty surrounding China ought to stand out as an opportunity for both the public and private sectors to aggressively combine forces and begin this process.

Economics matter most at this point. Demand for REEs across the industrial and defense base is relatively inelastic, but the supply overhang and the resulting low REE price environment demand that stakeholders focus on costs rather than the hope of higher future prices. Low costs can be targeted in the extractive sector by finding those deposits with favorable metallurgy or the ability to use technology to minimize processing and separation costs. As we said above, the best opportunities are likely those that offer the optimal blend of metallurgy and cost. Further along the supply chain, companies that offer a value added service (separation, for example) would appear to be well positioned.

Many will decry faith in technology that has yet to scale as a stretch, but this offers the best opportunity, in our view, to begin establishing a non-Chinese REE supply chain. These markets are growing and expected to continue to do so into the future. With this in mind, non-Chinese stakeholders throughout the REE supply chain should embrace this opportunity to create value away from the Middle Kingdom.

Though the idea of resource dependence has been overhyped by the media and stock promoters, the central issue of resource dependence remains and is likely to resurface in the future.

**DISCLAIMER AND INFORMATION ON FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**
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In late January 2016 during the Cambridge House Investment Conference in Vancouver, Commerce Resources Corp.'s President, Chris Grove, was interviewed by Investing News Network.

He stated that since the downturn of the REE market in 2011, Commerce has raised around $25 million to advance the Ashram Project towards Pre-feasibility and potential joint ventures to finance Ashram into a profitable non-China operation – even at today’s low prices.

The reasons for continued interest from several directions are explained in this 2 minute video, which can be viewed here or by clicking on the picture on the top.
Commerce Resources Confirms Its Single Leach Pilot Plant Yields The Desired Results

By Thibaut Lepouttre on February 11, 2016 for Caesars Report

Commerce Resources (CCE.V) has now confirmed the preliminary bench scale results of the metallurgical leach test work during pilot plant operations, where the ore from the Ashram Project is being tested. This pilot plant was based on a single-leach process, as prior bench scale work strongly indicated steps could be reduced with efficiency maintained, or potentially improved, compared to the double-leach process that was previously piloted.

With the success of the single-leach pilot, the double-leach process has now been replaced and the overall process made more cost efficient. Although not achieved from this pilot, Commerce also indicated that the single-leach process may allow for an increase in overall recovery, which would further bolster the process.

Darren, a recovery rate of 71% is obviously pretty good, but in October, Commerce released a statement saying it has been able to reach a recovery rate of 76. What caused the difference between the 76% result of the bench scale tests and the pilot plant test?

“Well, there were several reasons. One was a slight overdose of a reagent during a precipitation step that led to the loss of some REEs in the leach process (this is why we said we had to implement a minor operational adjustment). So basically, we will need an even lower amount of reagents, and that actually is a positive thing.

Secondly, the incomplete washing of the residue prior to the magnetic separation phase could also have been a contributing factor. Both of these issues are very minor and easy to correct. The main reason is that these were just the initial scale up pilots and only a couple residues were tested through the magnetic separation stage to confirm residue quality. The primary goal was demonstrating we could produce residues using a simpler, more easily controlled process that can be upgraded to those comparable that were produced on the bench scale.

So our goal was to achieve a concentrate containing >40% TREO @ >70% overall recovery. Based on these first two mini-pilots, we are very confident that overall recoveries may improve to a minimum 76% (as proven during the bench scale tests) and further from there.”

We also briefly discussed these results with Darren L. Smith, Ashram’s Project Manager.
A simplified flowsheet has reduced the number of steps to process rare earths from Commerce Resources’ (TSXV:CCE) Ashram deposit in Quebec. Announced February 9, the results point to lowered costs while maintaining efficiency.

Metallurgical tests continue to advance the project towards pre-feasibility.

Last year’s pilot plant tests used a double-leach process that achieved over 99% stage recovery with complete carbonate removal. But the results also suggested the process could be simplified by using only a single leach. That’s now been confirmed, as the single-leach mini-pilot plant achieved similar efficiency with fewer stages while operating at a larger scale.

“For the single-leach pilot, both batch and continuous methods were tested, using flotation concentrate produced from piloting as feed, with a total throughput of approximately 50 kilograms and 11 kilograms respectively,” the company stated. “Stage recovery exceeded 98% for both methods and is expected to exceed 99% after a minor operational adjustment is incorporated.”

Leach residues went through magnetic separation to confirm the residue quality, producing high-grade mineral concentrates. The batch method produced 41% total rare earth oxides at 71% recovery, while the continuous method reached 43% TREO at 71% recovery.

Tests also indicated overall recoveries might surpass those of the double-leach process. Follow-up tests will further evaluate that finding.

“The validation of the single-leach process at the pilot scale is an advancement that allows for basic cost reductions as fewer process steps are now required, and fewer reagents are now consumed, while process efficiency is maintained,” commented president Chris Grove.

Ashram’s flowsheet includes three stages of processing “to produce among the highest-grade mineral concentrates in the rare earth development space,” Commerce stated.

The company intends to follow last year’s work on the flotation and HCl leach stages with a magnetic separation pilot plant and further downstream processing this year.

Pre-feas work has also included infill drilling for a resource update, with high-grade, near-surface assays.

A new infrastructure model, meanwhile, points to further potential cost reductions.

In October Commerce won an award for responsible exploration from l’Association de l’exploration minière du Québec.

Last month the company closed the second tranche of a private placement that totalled $1.97 million.

Commerce also holds the Blue River tantalum-niobium deposit in southeastern British Columbia, with a 2011 preliminary economic assessment.
Commerce Resources – Providing A Viable Source of Rare Earth Elements?

By Thibaut Lepouttre on December 22, 2015 for Caesars Report

Earlier this quarter, we reported on Medallion Resources (MDL.V), a small Vancouver-based company that is aiming to recover rare earth elements (REEs) from a mineral source called monazite. This is quite a ‘special’ and a potentially relatively low-risk method to indeed be able to produce REEs from a mineral which is considered to be waste by many beach sand operators. In this report we would like to present you another potential opportunity in the REE-sector, Commerce Resources (CCE.V), a company belonging to the Zimtu Capital (ZC.TO) umbrella group.

When a story sounds too good to be true, it usually is, but we have to confess that after reviewing some materials and spending several hours with Chris Grove, Commerce’s president, we indeed agree this might be one of the very few viable REE-plays as the current basket price is still (much) higher than the expected production cost per kilo of product.

View this Caesars Report as a PDF

The Ashram REE project – a brief background

Commerce’s Ashram Project is located in Québec’s Nunavik Territory and is approximately 130 kilometers south of Kuujjuaq. The remote area where the project is located could be seen as a challenge as there isn’t a labour pool directly available around the proposed mine site, but that shouldn’t be an issue down the road as a lot of mines in the wider region have operated successfully for years (e.g. Glencore’s Raglan mine), flying their staff in and out on regular intervals. The Preliminary Economic Assessment at Ashram does include the cost of a camp and an airstrip, so the location issues are definitely being dealt with.

Despite the remoteness, we consider the fact the project is located in Québec as a huge plus as it remains one of the most mining-friendly regions in the world. Further, being in the Nunavik Territory, the project is located in an area under aboriginal treaty with clear mechanisms in place for consultation and resource management. Additionally, the further development of the Plan Nord could bring a lot of advantages to the Ashram Project as the government of Québec still wants to invest heavily in infrastructure to unlock the mineral potential of the northern part of Québec. This would be great news for Commerce as a new access road connecting the property to the road/rail network in the south and through to Kuujjuaq in the north would result in huge cost savings further down the road (pun intended).

Back in 2007 and 2008, Commerce considered Ashram to be primarily a Niobium-Tantalum project and it was only during an exploration program in 2009 that REE mineralization of significance was discovered outcropping at surface. The company followed up on these first results and soon discovered...
the average grade of the samples in the Ashram area looked very promising with in excess of 50% of the samples returning a grade of in excess of 1% TREO. After this surface mineralization was discovered, Commerce pushed through with a drill program which indeed confirmed the existence of widespread REE mineralization and in the first quarter of 2011, the company was able to release a maiden resource estimate of 117 million tonnes of inferred resource at an average grade of 1.74% TREO (using a 1.25% cut-off grade).

Additional exploration activities revealed there was a higher-value zone within the existing resource envelope which contained an enrichment in the middle and heavy rare earth oxides, as well as neodymium. This zone is also expected to form the majority of the starter-pit.

The total deposit size almost doubled with a resource updated in 2012 with a measured resource of 1.6 million tonnes (Mt) at 1.77% TREO, indicated resource of 28 Mt at 1.90% TREO, and an inferred resource of 220 Mt at 1.88% TREO, at a 1.25% cut-off grade. And there’s much more to be found at Ashram, as the mineralization remains open to the north and south as well as at depth (despite having reached a total depth of 600 metres).

The company swiftly moved to complete a PEA which was completed in 2012. This gave the market a first indication of how valuable this project could be. The project has continued to advance, albeit slowed by the recent market environment, and has improved many aspects of the project. Despite the lower REE prices, Ashram appears to remain profitable, anchored by a projected industry-low cost of production.

Why does this project stand a chance, compared to all these other REE hopefuls?

As we all know, the current REE prices are low. Terribly low. This means that a lot of projects with either a low average grade or a difficult rock type will have a lot of difficulties to prove their viability to the market, and it’s uncertain those companies will obtain any funding as long as the REE prices are where they are right now. Just three years ago, Commerce was still focusing on two projects, the Upper Fir Tantalum and Niobium Project in British Columbia and the Ashram REE Project in Québec. The Tantalum project has taken a back seat as Commerce has made considerable progress at the Ashram Project which now seems to be shaping up as an interesting and promising REE project in a mining-friendly region.

One aspect that sets Ashram apart from many of its peers is its sheer size. It is a mammoth deposit with an initial mine life of 25 years, but there are enough resources already delineated to potentially sustain an operation for several hundred years. As many projects struggle to define resources, this is a distinct advantage for Ashram and frees up capital for other value added development work.

No other project in development exists of comparable resource size that is also host to simple mineralogy, and is amenable to standard processing techniques. Coupled with a leading global jurisdiction for mine development and the Ashram Deposit quickly appears as a standout for development.

The PEA is outdated, and applying today’s parameters would improve the quality of the project

The 2015 PEA was very interesting to read, but now, several years after the report has been released, it’s already obvious a major upgrade will be needed to incorporate the updated parameters.

A. A new starter pit

We think an updated PEA, or Prefeasibility Study (PFS), will be able to incorporate an even more fine-tuned production scenario that is completely zoomed in and focused on the MHREO-zone, extending from surface. Even though the strip ratio in the original PEA was just 0.19 (which indeed is extremely
low), it’s not unlikely this ratio could be reduced even further. Additionally, the 2012 PEA used a pit slope of 45 degrees, and this might be fine-tuned even further as SGS just used the standard of 45 degrees based on a study from 1974.

**B. Updating the currency effects**

The original PEA used an USD/CAD exchange rate of 1, whereas the current ratio is more like 1.38 which means the expenses in Canadian Dollar have suddenly become quite a lot cheaper!

The strong USD relative to the CAD is a large benefit to a project like Ashram that will have its operating expenditures (OPEX) in CAD but its saleable products sold in USD.

Couple this with low oil prices (reduces OPEX) and lower steel prices (reduces CAPEX), and the project ends up with several significant tailwinds for its PFS that were not present for its PEA.

It is often said the most expensive place in the world to build a mine is the United States, primarily due to the strength of the USD.

However, just north of the border in Canada, it often noted as one of the best, if not the best, places globally to build a mine; that being Quebec, which is exactly where Ashram is located. Let’s have a look at how the REE prices evolved in US Dollar: (see below table)

As you can see, the basket price for the REE mix that will be produced in the first five years of the mine life at Ashram has fallen by 58% in USD-terms. However, thanks to the cheaper Canadian Dollar, the lower REE prices will partly be compensated by the weaker CAD.

Keep in mind the calculated basket price is the gross value per kilo, and potential buyers of the concentrate will obviously base the paid price taking the additional separating expenses into consideration. There’s no fixed cost per kilo as it mainly depends on the different elements in the REE basket, but in Commerce’s case a discount of 25% is an acceptable expectation.

### REE Price Evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REE</th>
<th>Price used in PEA (US$/kg)</th>
<th>Recent price (US$/kg and rounded)</th>
<th>REE Distribution in years 1-5 (in %, rounded)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lanthanum</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerium</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praseodymium</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neodymium</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samarium</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europlum</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadolinium</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terbium</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysprosium</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yttrium</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basket</td>
<td>US$35/kg</td>
<td>US$14.7/kg</td>
<td>-58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS35/kg</td>
<td>CS$20.3/kg</td>
<td>-42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Removing the cerium

A huge kicker for the economics at Ashram could be the removal of the cerium before sending it off to a separator. Due to the high weighing of the Cerium in the concentrate and the current ultra-low Cerium price (cerium is trading at just $2 per kilo), any REE processor will penalize Commerce for having the Cerium in its concentrate (as the extraction cost will be higher than the revenue after the solvent extraction phase). Commerce is evaluating the benefits of this process, but the final decision about what to do with the Cerium (and Lanthanum) will depend on the requirements of the market.

The simplest way to recover the cerium is through oxidation, but Commerce Resources is also thinking about installing a small solvent extraction circuit to remove both the Cerium and Lanthanum in one ‘sweep’ and separate the Ce and La from each other to get to two saleable concentrates.

In such a case, approximately 97% of the Ce and La would be removed from the concentrate and the value of the remaining concentrate would suddenly sky-rocket as in the first five years of the mine life, the (important) praseodymium and neodymium combined would represent almost two-thirds of the Cerium and Lanthanum-depleted concentrate.

D. An improved flow sheet and a higher REE-recovery

Since the first PEA has been published, Commerce Resources has worked to fine-tune the process and a recent update could be a pivotal point for the company. Not only was the average recovery rate boosted to 76% through to the final mineral concentrate (compared to 66.5% used in the PEA), the company has now also used considerably less steps in its flow sheet which will further reduce the initial capital expenditures and the operating expenditures (on top of the lower opex/kg due to the higher recovery rates). This means the initial capex of C$763M and the operating expenses of C$7.91/kg could be reduced even further. Also keep in mind the C$763M capex was also based on a parity in the US Dollar and CAD Dollar. Keeping the CAD-amount at the same level, the capex in USD has actually fallen to less than $600M. This amount also includes the sustaining capex as well as a 25% contingency, which is comfortably high.

On top of the better recovery rates and more streamlined process (single leach vs double leach), it will also be a viable option to reduce the daily throughput from 4,000 tonnes per day to, say, 2,000-2,500 tonnes per day. This will allow Commerce to reduce the initial capex even further whilst it would still be producing a significant quantity of REO per year.

Additionally, the value of the Cerium- and Lanthanum-depleted concentrate would be much higher than the current basket price. Of course, the amount of concentrate that would be produced will be much lower once you remove 97% of the cerium and lanthanum, but the total revenue (the (net) concentrate revenue+ cerium carbonate revenue + lanthanum carbonate revenue) should be higher than the current basket price as Commerce should be able to remove the cerium and lanthanum at a lower processing cost compared to the larger separators. Of course, this is just a theoretical thought from our side, and we will have to wait for the company’s final feasibility study before seeing which decision Commerce will make.
A recent marketing trip to Asia seemed to have been successful

Removing the Cerium from the concentrate before shipping it to the separator could have an additional benefit. Not only would the average price of the concentrate increase (as the Cerium content, which would very likely be penalized, is approximately 46%), Commerce would also be able to meet a certain demand from a potential customer.

President Chris Grove has indicated there’s Asian demand for a cerium product (and lanthanum product as well!) which is quite surprising given the current oversupply of cerium. However, security of supply (i.e. non-Chinese supply) is still a concern to many end-users. If the interest in the cerium product turns out to be correct and credible, then it would make even more sense to remove the cerium from the concentrate and ship it as an independent and different product to a prospective Asian buyer. Cerium is often treated as waste by juniors, but there is a market demand in certain product forms.

Removing the Cerium could be pretty straightforward through a process of oxidation and precipitation. But as explained earlier in this report, the Cerium and Lanthanum could be removed by adding a solvent extraction circuit to the processing plant which could remove up to 97% of the Cerium and Lanthanum from the final concentrate at relatively low cost. Not only would this increase the value of the remaining concentrate, it would also be easier for Commerce to fulfill the needs of some Cerium- and Lanthanum-hungry customers.

**Conclusion**

There have been quite a few self-proclaimed ‘leaders in the REE space’ in the past few years but once you start to insert the current REE prices in the production baskets, those ‘economics’ are crumbling. And that’s the difference between so many other REE company’s and Commerce Resources. Commerce resources is projected to be one of the lowest-cost producers globally and this is how a miner survives a commodity cycle.

Even at today’s REE prices, the Ashram Deposit’s net basket price (so after applying the discount that will be used by the REE separators) is nearly double its projected operating costs.

One of the most important catalysts for Commerce Resources will be to complete additional metallurgical studies as well as trying to figure out what it should do with the Cerium and Lanthanum in the concentrate. If the majority of those two elements could indeed be removed, the value of the price basket would increase considerably.

Rare Earth Elements are unloved right now as there have been so many Canadian companies that claimed they would be the next low-cost REE producer, but Commerce Resources seems to be in the pole position to indeed effectively become a low-cost REE mining company.

**Disclaimer:** The author holds a long position in Commerce Resources. Zimtu Capital is a sponsor of the website. Please read the disclaimer.
**METALLURGY**
Beneficiation flowsheet for the Ashram Rare Earth Project saw further improvements in cost efficiencies, through process simplifications and reductions of key reagents, with reproducible high-grade mineral concentrates produced.

- **Highest grade mineral concentrate produced to date**– 46% TREO @ 71% recovery, 42% TREO @ 76% recovery
- Pilot Plant (Hazen Research, Golden, CO) – successful completion of grinding, flotation, and HCl leach circuits.
- Advancement in the evaluation of a potential fluor spar by-product

**DRILLING**
Primary goals of the winter/summer programs successfully completed with infill drilling, and potentially all geomechanical, geotechnical, & hydrogeological data as required for the ongoing PFS.

- Winter campaign – 4,146 m in 31 holes, including highest grade sample to date: 19.7% TREO over 0.82 m
- Summer campaign – 732 m in 17 holes

**ENVIRONMENTAL / SOCIAL**
- All data collection for PFS completed
- Samples collected for ongoing surface water quality monitoring program
- Continued dialogue with Inuit and Naskapi representatives – site visits for representatives from both groups completed August

**E3 PLUS AWARD**
Recipient of the 2015 e3 Plus Award from the Association de l’exploration minière du Québec (AEMQ), for the "company that best personifies responsible exploration as envisioned by the e3 Plus framework", developed by the Prospectors & Developers Association of Canada (PDAC), highlighted by a high-level of environmental and social responsibility, as well as adherence to industry best practices.

**PROJECT DEVELOPMENT**
- Economic trade off studies conclude hydrometallurgy process (flotation concentrate to mixed REE concentrate) to be conducted off site within the St. Lawrence Seaway region (17 sites evaluated) with short list down to two
- Positive ongoing engagement with Société du Plan Nord
- Mine-site infrastructure plan in advanced stages
- Mine-site wind potential evaluation underway, in collaboration with Tugliq Energie Co., as a potential green energy off-set to diesel power
- QP (NI 43-101 Qualified Persons) site visits completed (resource, geotechnical, engineering, metallurgy, & infrastructure)

**CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT**
- Meetings with many industry majors – potential joint venture partners
- Many requests received for samples of mixed and/or partially separated REE concentrates to be produced in Pilot Plant operations during 2016

**FINANCING**
$1.97 M CAD raised December 2015

**CONSULTANTS**
Engaged Kazuo Machida (Kay Investments Ltd.) for further insight on the Japanese rare earth market
“Rare earths engineering consultants TRU Group Inc says China-controlled pricing is self-destructive and creates hurdles for western RE metal projects. Average rare earth prices have levelled off at an estimated $18,500 per t for 2014 following the steep declines in recent years. Prices have stabilized at a level below the average for 2010 before the crisis in supply began when China unexpectedly restricted exports. The failed attempts by Chinese suppliers to halt the decline in REE prices was long predicted by TRU” say CEO Edward R Anderson. “Rare earth metal prices are so low now that profit margins even in China may be unattractive”. Strategic rare earths are used in high-tech magnets for electric vehicles and China produces over ninety per cent of global requirements. Most western REE newcomers have exhausted their cash and have been forced into hibernation: They need more sensible operational business models to attract investors.

“Trouble is that the REE juniors focus too much on geology when the real technical issues lie in highly specialized REE chemical production. Design of operations in a fragmented industry is complex but crucial to success. Unless there is a strategic change in direction I doubt whether we will see the capacity expansion that was expected” says Anderson. (TRU Group Inc.)
Disclaimer and Information on Forward Looking Statements:
All statements in this report, other than statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements. Much of this report is comprised of statements of projection. Statements in this report that are forward looking include that REE and metal prices are expected to rebound; that Commerce Resources Corp. or its partner(s) can and will start exploring further; that exploration has or will discover a mineable deposit; that the company can raise sufficient funds for exploration or development; that any of the mentioned mineralization indications or estimates are valid or economic. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties respecting mineral exploration and mining companies are generally disclosed in the annual financial or other filing documents of Commerce Resources Corp. and similar companies as filed with the relevant securities commissions, and should be reviewed by any reader of this report. In addition, with respect to Commerce Resources Corp., a number of risks relate to any statement of projection or forward statements, including among other risks: the receipt of all necessary approvals and permits; the ability to conclude a transaction to start or continue development; uncertainty of future REE and metal prices, capital expenditures and other costs; financings and additional capital requirements for exploration, development, construction, and operating of a mine; the receipt in a timely fashion of further permitting for its legislative, political, social or economic developments in the jurisdictions in which Commerce Resources Corp. carries on business; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining or development activities; the ability to keep key employees, joint-venture partner(s), and operations financed. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. Rockstone and the author of this report do not undertake any obligation to update any statements made in this report.

Disclosure of Interest and Advisory Cautions:
Nothing in this report should be construed as a solicitation to buy or sell any securities mentioned. Rockstone, its owners and the author of this report are not registered broker-dealers or financial advisors. Before investing in any securities, you should consult with your financial advisor and a registered broker-dealer. Never make an investment based solely on what you read in an online or printed report, including Rockstone’s report, especially if the investment involves a small, thinly-traded company that isn’t well known. The author of this report is paid by Zimtu Capital Corp., a TSX Venture Exchange listed investment company. Part of the author’s responsibilities at Zimtu is to research and report on companies in which Zimtu has an investment. So while the author of this report is not paid directly by Commerce Resources Corp., the author’s employer Zimtu will benefit from appreciation of Commerce Resources Corp.’s stock price. In addition, the author owns shares of Commerce Resources Corp. and would also benefit from volume and price appreciation of its stock. In this case, Commerce Resources Corp. has one or more common directors with Zimtu Capital Corp. Thus, multiple conflicts of interests exist. The information provided herewith should not be construed as a financial analysis but rather as an advertisement. The author’s views and opinions regarding the companies featured in reports are his own views and are based on information that he has researched independently and has received, which the author assumes to be reliable. Rockstone and the author of this report do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any content of this report, nor its fitness for any particular purpose. Lastly, the author does not guarantee that any of the companies mentioned will perform as expected, and any comparisons made to other companies may not be valid or come into effect. Please read the entire Disclaimer carefully. If you do not agree to all of the Disclaimer, do not access this website or any of its pages including this report in form of a PDF. By using this website and/or report, and whether or not you actually read the Disclaimer, you are deemed to have accepted it. Information provided is educational and general in nature.